

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,449	08/07/2003	Richard W. Gross	T3653-8792US09	8564
62574 7590 03/22/2007 SHERIDAN ROSS P C SUITE 1200			EXAMINER	
			ELALLAM, AHMED	
1560 BROAD DENVER, CC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEN VER, CC			2616	
			····	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

I	V
1	"

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/635,449	GROSS ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
AHMED ELALLAM	2616				
ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely-filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
igust 2003.					
•					
a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
A) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Secon is required if the drawing(s) is observing the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				
	Examiner AHMED ELALLAM Pears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet w				

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Some passages on pages 4,5, 8, 9, 13-24, 26-31, and 33-41 are ineligible due to copying errors from a previous Application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claim 1 of this application conflict with claim 1 of Application No. 10/613,052. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Art Unit: 2616

4. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/613,052. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bremer et al, US (6,061,392).

Regarding claim 1, with reference to figures 2, 4 and 5, Bremer discloses a modem for communicating data across a wire-line in a manner that senses and

Art Unit: 2616

dynamically adapts to the simultaneous transmission of voice information across a local loop. The modem includes an input/output signal line that is electrically connected with the local loop (e.g., plugged into an RJ-11 phone jack). The modem also includes a processor unit that is adapted for operation in one of two states: a full-band transmission state and a band-limited transmission state. The full-band transmission state is defined by a lower frequency boundary at a value below approximately 15-20 kilohertz and an upper frequency boundary generally greater than 40 kilohertz. The band -limited state is defined by a lower frequency boundary greater than 4 kilohertz and an upper frequency boundary greater than 40 kilohertz (which may or may not be the same as the upper frequency boundary for the full-band transmission state). The modem further includes a sensor or other sensing means for sensing that the local loop is in POTS mode (e.g., transmitting POTS information, or preparing to transmit POTS information), and the data signal power and bandwidth are adaptively altered to provide data without interfering with the POTS transmission. Brener also discloses that upon sensing the band-limiting condition, such as an off-hook condition, (claimed means for detecting a signaling event associated with at least a first of said bands) the controller causes the processor unit to upwardly shift the lower frequency boundary of the transmission frequency band and operate in the band-limited, or reduced-power state. (Claimed means responsive to said detecting means for modifying the processing of signals transmitted over at least a second of said bands).

Likewise, Bremer discloses upon sensing no band-limiting condition (or a cessation in the band-limiting condition), the controller causes the processor unit to

Art Unit: 2616

downwardly shift the lower frequency boundary of the transmission frequency band, and operate in the full-band transmission state, to maximize data throughput. Bremer further discloses an apparatus for simultaneously communicating both voice and data between a customer premises and a central office across a local loop, comprising: transmitting data between the customer premises and the central office in a first frequency band, wherein the first frequency band is defined by an upper frequency boundary and a lower frequency boundary; (2) allocating a second frequency band for transmitting voice information between the customer premises and the central office; (3) sensing a band limiting condition; and (4) dynamically shifting the lower frequency boundary of the first frequency band in response to the sensed band -limiting condition. In accordance with the invention, the lower frequency boundary of the first frequency band shifted to at least partially overlap the second frequency band when no band -limiting condition exists. The lower frequency boundary of the first frequency band is further shifted to avoid overlapping with any portion of the second frequency band when the band limiting condition exists. Column 4, lines 19-67, and column 5, lines 1-3.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AHMED ELALLAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3097. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHMED ELALLAM Examiner Art Unit 2616 3/16/07

SEEMA S. RAO 3/19/07
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600